

Please type or print legibly and return to:
Department of Regulatory Agencies, Mental Health Section,
1560 Broadway, Suite 1350, Denver, CO 80202 / (303) 894-7766

RECEIVED
FEB 20 2008

Person Filing Complaint:

Michael A Moon (719) 390 2978
Name Telephone Number
3930 Patcick Dr Apt 23 Colorado Spring CO 80916
Mailing Address City State Zip

DIVISION OF REGISTRATIONS

Check here if you have reason to keep your name confidential in open records requests. This may not apply to public disciplinary documents. Please briefly explain your reason in the narrative of your complaint.

Complaint Filed Against:

Elizabeth Scott Peterson (719) 634-7504
Name Telephone Number
330 E Cache la Poudre St Colorado Spring 80916
Mailing Address City State Zip

Check type of license (if known):

___ Alcohol and Drug Addjction Counselor; ___ Marriage and Family Therapist;
___ Professional Counselor; Psychologist; ___ Social Worker; ___ Unlicensed Therapist

1. Please provide a chronological statement of your complaint, including dates. If you need more space, please attach additional documentation, unbound and unstapled.

I believe Dr. Peterson perform unethically, abuses her authority and position, and is perhaps negligent see attached.

2. List names, addresses and telephone numbers of witnesses, including other professionals. Report any police investigation including case number and submit the written report (if available). Attach copies of all documents, unbound and unstapled, relevant to your complaint such as letters and other correspondence (e.g., police reports, witness statements, drawings, etc.).

3. How did you learn of the Board's existence (e.g., disclosure statement, referral service, etc.). Attach any documentation necessary, unbound and unstapled.

I attest that all statements made by me in relation to this complaint are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Michael Moon [Signature] 2/18/08
Print Name Signature Date

Page 2 of 6

I have question and presently in belief that Dr Paterson as acted outside the bounds of and or abused her authority as a psychologist, She has perform her role as therapist unethically, and ignored the rights of those in her care. I also believe her negligent.

In 2007 the maternal mother and I came to a disagreement in the course of care for our daughter. That disagreement related to medicating our child for ADHD. I felt that our child did not have ADHD. The maternal mother sought out a therapist and went to court to compel me to submit our daughter to be medicated for ADHD.

The court order the family to attend Dr. Paterson.

I went to Dr. Paterson as ordered by the court and I presented this to Dr. Paterson .

I asked why she was admonished by the board and why the mother chose her.

She did not tell me why she was admonished yet she said that the previous therapist referred her.

In April of 2007 that the domestic evaluation form that seem to lack objectivity.

I also mention that I spoke with the previous therapist relating to him referring use to her.

In May on our first court appearance Dr. paterson acted as an officer of the court and present evidence to the court unchallenged.

That evidence she presented to the court was the result of the domestic evaluation I had said appeared biased.

When I asked she said she derived that from the mother of child.

I later brought to her attention that I felt uncomfortable with her as a therapist. I told her that I did not trust her and I thought the process non therapeutic..

I told her that I felt that she was not objective.

When I was convinced that I would have to prove to her that the information she received from the mother misleading I presented documents that I thought would bring to light credibility of the source she relied on.

I later delivered to her copies of emails that I thought would shed light on the credibility of the party she seem to trust..

She chose to what I pointed out to her.

I stumble upon and delivered to her text written by the mother that I believed would give Dr. Paterson insight to behavior and judgment of the other party she seem to be championing.

I communicated to her that it seems that she had sided with the mother of the child.

She allowed the mother of child to intrude in session scheduled for father and child.

She told the father without uncertainty what he was going to do with his insurance coverage of the child.

He told the father of the child what benefits he would provide.

She asked a eight year old child which parent she would rather be with in front.

January 19, 2006 She again allowed the mother of the child to attend the session of the father and daughter.

At that session she was making a case for the father not to be with the child in front of the child.

Near session end I said that I thought anyone who chose to ignore the other side or ignore fact would be negligent.

After the session I communicated to her that I thought she overstep boundaries and that I thought allowing the mother of child to attend session scheduled for the father to be an insult.

Her response was that she thought to have it on my day was appropriate.

My rights were violated.

I believe that my rights were violated from the moment that she commandeered us. I think that the moment that she acted as an officer of the court, without question she violated the rights of those in her care.

She created a situation that made it impossible for that family to parent without her.

I believed when she created a situation in which the parents were unable to communicate their thought and ideas without having go through her she negated the ability for them to effectively parent with ought her knowledge rights of my child and family.

I found that since beginning therapy that ability of the two parent to communicate has deteriorated.

It is my belief that Dr. Paterson seemingly became a champion of the maternal mothers cause.

And may have gone as far as advising the mother off the child in legal matters.

It is my profound belief that this person, Dr. Paterson, should not engage in matters of such importance to the life of a child.

I believe it is the role of an attorney and not a psychologist to advise a client in legal matter.



Disclosure

Miss Paterson has not given true disclosure of what she was admonished for, what she was authorized to do or what her role was.

Miss Paterson misled the father of the child as to how she was referred.

Ethics

Once it was determined that the child did not require medication she affronted the clients right by compelling them to continue therapy without ever allowing the parent to consult on another.

Biased the court by having the court order on party and not the other present prejudicial evidence to the court.

Client trust

Had not establish the trust of clients in her ability to be objective and honest.

Therapeutic results

Parent who were co parenting with weak communication ability deteriorated in her care.

Subjected a eight year old child in choosing between parent.

Possible became party to alienating a child from her father.

Ignored the father verbal communication of discontent and question as to how long the would have to remain in her care

Promote negative communication from the child of her father.

Spoke to the father as the lesser parent.

Exceeded boundaries and abuse of authority

Speaking as an officer of the court .

Having the court order a family into therapy without allowing the parents to consult one another.

Telling a parent without regard to the parent's thoughts, feeling, or beliefs which health care providers he would use.

Telling a parent what coverage he would provide for his child again without addressing the parents concerns.

Possible giving legal advice to the mother of the child.

Giving the appearance of champion the cause of the mother of the child.

